



The Stability Analysis of Two-Species Competition Model with Stage Structure and Diffusion Terms

Wang Hailing

Institute of Information Science and Technology, Xiamen University Tan Kah Kee Colledge, Xiamen, China

Email address:

whling@xujc.com, 19099428@qq.com

To cite this article:

Wang Hailing. The Stability Analysis of Two-Species Competition Model with Stage Structure and Diffusion Terms. *Applied and Computational Mathematics*. Vol. 5, No. 5, 2016, pp. 193-201. doi: 10.11648/j.acm.20160505.12

Received: September 6, 2016; **Accepted:** October 7, 2016; **Published:** October 13, 2016

Abstract: In this paper, the author proposed and considered a reaction-diffusion equation with diffusion terms and stage structure. We discussed the stability of the positive equilibrium. By using the upper-lower solutions and monotone iteration technique, we obtained the zero steady state and the boundary equilibrium were linear unstable and the unique positive steady state was globally asymptotic stability. The traditional results are improved and this result applies to broader frameworks.

Keywords: Stage Structure, Reaction-Diffusion Equations, Equilibrium, Stability

1. Introduction

The growth of the species, often needs a process of development. Meanwhile, different species with different growth stage showed the different characteristics. So, studying the population model with stage structure has practical significance. But, a single population is not too much, each species must be affected by the other populations and the environment, so, in recent years, the literature on the two phase structure of single population was more, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] etc. Among them, Chen Lansun in literature [1] listed some scholars' research results. But, the stability of the equilibrium point on two population model was studied through ordinary differential equations in [2, 3, 4, 5]. Later, many scholars began to research the structure of three phase single population model. Gao Shujing [6] set up for a class of population model according to the young adults aged three stages. In 2005, Liang etc [7] established a class of population

model which was divided into a pupa Larvae, adult three phase structure, Wu [8] studied the global asymptotic stability of a weakly-coupled reaction diffusion system in the three-species model. But in these papers, the authors did not notice the time delay. In fact, the development of population reproduction has some lag process, literature [9, 10, 11] considered the time delay on the basis of [6, 7], but, in these papers the author did not notice the free diffusion phenomena of the population. Literature [12] studied two species predator-prey with stage structure and diffusion terms which considered the effect of diffusion and phase structure. But the authors considered the species only spreading in the local scope. The local operator did not accurately describe the object of study behavior of space and time, therefore, we must introduce the convolution operator to describe the spatial diffusion process. On the basis of [12], we considered the following competition model with diffusion terms and stage structure:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1(x,t)}{\partial t} - d_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = -a_1 u_1(x,t) + \alpha u_2(x,t) - \alpha((g_1 * u_2)(x,t)) \\ \frac{\partial u_2(x,t)}{\partial t} - d_2 \frac{\partial^2 u_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \alpha((g_1 * u_2)(x,t)) - b_1 u_2(x,t) - r_1 u_2^2(x,t) - c_1 u_2(x,t) u_3(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial u_3(x,t)}{\partial t} - d_3 \frac{\partial^2 u_3(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = -b_2 u_3(x,t) - r_2 u_3^2(x,t) - c_2 u_2(x,t) u_3(x,t) + \beta(g_2 * u_3)(x,t) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Where, $u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t)$ respectively represent the population densities of the juvenile and adult of A at time t and location x, $u_3(x,t)$ represent the population densities of B at time t and location x, d_1, d_2, d_3 represent the diffusion rates, a_1, b_1, b_2 represent the death rate, α, β represent the birth rate, r_1, r_2 represent the density coefficient, c_1, c_2 represent the competition coefficient of adult A, B, The kernel $g_1(s), g_2(s)$ are inter-tribal and non-negative function satisfying

$$\int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)ds = \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)ds = 1, (g_1 * u_2)(x,t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi d_2 s}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4d_2 s}} u_2(t-s, y) dy ds$$

$$(g_2 * u_3)(x,t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi d_3 s}} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4d_3 s}} u_3(t-s, y) dy ds$$

All the parameters are positive.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we discuss the locally asymptotic stability between the zero balance and the boundary of equilibrium; in section 3, we use the upper-lower solutions and monotone iterative methods to discuss the global stability of the positive equilibrium point.

2. Equilibrium and Local Asymptotic Stability

The variables $u_2(x,t), u_3(x,t)$ of the system (1) have nothing with $u_1(x,t)$, so we need to consider the subsystems of the system (1):

In (1), let $u_2(x,t) = u(x,t), u_3(x,t) = v(x,t)$, $d_2 \underline{\Delta} d_1, d_3 \underline{\Delta} d_2$, then the system (1) can rewrite following:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} - d_1 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \alpha(g_1 * u)(x,t) - b_1 u(x,t) - r_1 u^2(x,t) - c_1 u(x,t)v(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial t} - d_2 \frac{\partial^2 v(x,t)}{\partial x^2} = \beta(g_2 * v)(x,t) - b_2 v(x,t) - r_2 v^2(x,t) - c_2 u(x,t)v(x,t) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds > b_1$, $\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds > b_2$, $\begin{cases} k_1 r_1 > c_1 k_2 \\ k_2 r_2 > c_2 k_1 \end{cases}$ or, $\begin{cases} k_1 r_1 < c_1 k_2 \\ k_2 r_2 < c_2 k_1 \end{cases}$, then the system(2) has four non-negative equilibrium:

$$E_0 = (0, 0), E_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1}{r_1}, 0 \right) \underline{\Delta} (k_1, 0), E_2 = \left(0, \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2}{r_2} \right) \underline{\Delta} (0, k_2), E = (u^*, v^*)$$

Where,

$$u^* = \frac{r_2 \left(\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 \right) - c_1 \left(\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 \right)}{r_1 r_2 - c_1 c_2}$$

$$v^* = \frac{r_1 \left(\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 \right) - c_2 \left(\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 \right)}{r_1 r_2 - c_1 c_2}$$

To study the asymptotic stability of the equilibrium by using of constant linear methods similar to [13], We introduce the transformation $U(t) = (u(x,t), v(x,t)) - E_i$ ($i=1,2$),

$U_t = U(t + \theta) (-\tau \leq \theta \leq 0)$, Then the system (2) Can translate into PFDE of $C \underline{\Delta} C([-\tau, 0]; R^2)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} U(t) = D \Delta U(t) + N(\tau)(U_t) + f_0(U_t, \tau), \tag{3}$$

Where, $D = \text{diag}(D_1, D_2), N(\tau) : C \rightarrow R^2$, $f_0 : C \times R^+ \rightarrow R^2$, Then the characteristic equation for the linear part of

system(3)can become

$$\begin{vmatrix} \lambda + k^2 d_1 - \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds + b_1 + 2r_1 u + c_1 v & c_1 u \\ c_2 v & \lambda + k^2 d_2 - \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-(\gamma_2 + \lambda + d_2 k^2)s} ds + b_2 + 2r_2 v + c_2 u \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(\lambda, k^2) &= \lambda + k^2 d_1 - \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds + b_1 + 2r_1 u + c_1 v \\ g_2(\lambda, k^2) &= \lambda + k^2 d_2 - \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-(\gamma_2 + \lambda + d_2 k^2)s} ds + b_2 + 2r_2 v + c_2 u \end{aligned}$$

Then the characteristic equation becomes $g_1(\lambda, k^2)g_2(\lambda, k^2) - c_1 c_2 uv = 0$,

Theorem 2.1 When $\begin{cases} k_1 r_1 > c_1 k_2 \\ k_2 r_2 < c_2 k_1 \end{cases}$, the equilibrium $E_0 = (0, 0)$ is unstable.

Proof: for the equilibrium $E_0 = (0, 0)$, the characteristic equation for the system (2) can become $g_1(\lambda, k^2)g_2(\lambda, k^2) = 0$

If $g_1(\lambda, k^2) = 0$, which yields $(\lambda + k^2 d_1 + b_1 - \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds) = 0$.

So, we get $|\lambda + d_1 k^2 + b_1| = |\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds|$,

if $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$, then $|\lambda + d_1 k^2 + b_1| = |\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds| \leq \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds$ holds.

In the same way, if $g_2(\lambda, k^2) = 0$, we can have $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$.

Thus, $E_0 = (0, 0)$ is unstable.

Theorem 2.2 When $\begin{cases} k_1 r_1 > c_1 k_2 \\ k_2 r_2 < c_2 k_1 \end{cases}$, the equilibrium E_1 is local asymptotic stability and E_2 is unstable.

Proof: for the equilibrium E_1 , the characteristic equation for the system (2) can become $g_1(\lambda, k^2)g_2(\lambda, k^2) = 0$.

(i) If $g_1(\lambda, k^2) = 0$, which yields, $\lambda + k^2 d_1 + b_1 + 2r_1 k_1 = \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds$.

if $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$, then

$$\left| \lambda + k^2 d_1 + 2\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds \right| = \left| b_1 + \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds \right| \leq 2\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds$$

is contradiction with the suppose, so $\text{Re } \lambda \leq 0$;

(ii) if $g_2(\lambda, k^2) = 0$, then $\lambda + k^2 d_2 - \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-(\gamma_2 + \lambda + d_2 k^2)s} ds + b_2 + c_2 k_1 = 0$ holds.

if $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$, then $|\lambda + k^2 d_2 + b_2 + c_2 k_1| = \left| \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-(\gamma_2 + \lambda + d_2 k^2)s} ds \right| \leq \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds$

is contradiction with the suppose, so $\text{Re } \lambda \leq 0$;

Therefore, the equilibrium E_1 is local asymptotic stability

By similar way, we can prove the balance E_2 of that the system (2) is not stable.

Theorem 2.3 If $\begin{cases} k_1 r_1 > c_1 k_2 \\ k_2 r_2 > c_2 k_1 \end{cases}$, the equilibrium E^* is local asymptotic stability.

Proof: for the equilibrium E^* , the characteristic equation for the system (2) can become

$$(\lambda + d_1 k^2 - \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1 k^2)s} ds + b_1 + 2r_1 u^* + c_1 v^*) \cdot (\lambda + d_2 k^2 - \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-(\gamma_2 + \lambda + d_2 k^2)s} ds + b_2 + 2r_2 v^* + c_2 u^*) - c_1 c_2 u^* v^* = 0.$$

Since $r_1 u^* + c_1 v^* = \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1$, $r_2 v^* + c_2 u^* = \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2$,

we have

$$(\lambda + d_1k^2 + \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1s} ds - \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-(\gamma_1 + \lambda + d_1k^2)s} ds + r_1u^*) \cdot (\lambda + d_2k^2 + \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2s} ds - \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-(\gamma_2 + \lambda + d_2k^2)s} ds + r_2v^*) - c_1c_2u^*v^* = 0$$

let $\lambda = a + bi$

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= a + d_1k^2 + r_1u^* + \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1s} ds - \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-(\gamma_1 + a + d_1k^2)s} \cos bs ds \\ A_2 &= a + d_2k^2 + r_2v^* + \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2s} ds - \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-(\gamma_2 + a + d_2k^2)s} \cos bs ds \\ B_1 &= b + \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-(\gamma_1 + a + d_1k^2)s} \sin bs ds, \quad B_2 = b + \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-(\gamma_2 + a + d_2k^2)s} \sin bs ds \end{aligned}$$

So we can get $(A_1 + B_1i)(A_2 + B_2i) = c_1c_2u^*v^*$.

which yields $\begin{cases} A_1A_2 - B_1B_2 = c_1c_2u^*v^* \\ A_1B_2 + A_2B_1 = 0 \end{cases}$, Further, we get $\begin{cases} A_1A_2 = c_1c_2u^*v^* + B_1B_2 \\ A_1B_2 + A_2B_1 = 0 \end{cases}$.

Therefore, $A_1A_2 \leq c_1c_2u^*v^*$. if $\text{Re } \lambda \geq 0$, then $A_1 \geq r_1u^*$, $A_2 \geq r_2v^*$, so $A_1A_2 \geq r_1r_2u^*v^* > 0$.

Thereby, $r_1r_2 \leq c_1c_2$ which is contradiction with the suppose.

Therefore, the equilibrium E^* is local asymptotic stability.

The methods are also appropriate for a class of food chain system with stage structure. Such as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Te^{-vt}(x - f) - U_{x^2}(t) - a_1x(t)y(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) = a_2x(t)y(t) - d_1y(t) - a_3y(t)z(t) \\ \dot{z}(t) = a_4y(t)z(t) - d_2z(t) \end{cases}$$

Where, $a_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4), d_i (i = 1, 2), T, U, V$ are positive, $x(t)$ represents the population densities of the juvenile and adult at time t and location x, $y(t), z(t)$ respectively represent the middle and top predators.

3. Global Stability

Using the upper-lower solution method and the monotone iterative method to consider the stability the following equations with the initial-boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \alpha((g_1 * u_1)(x,t)) - b_1u_1(x,t) - r_1u_1^2(x,t) - c_1u_1(x,t)u_2(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial u_2(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 u_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - b_2u_2(x,t) - r_2u_2^2(x,t) - c_2u_1(x,t)u_2(x,t) + \beta(g_2 * u_2)(x,t) \\ u_i(t,x) = \varphi_i(t,x), (t,x) \in [-\tau, 0] \times [0, \pi] \\ \frac{\partial u_i(t,0)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u_i(t,\pi)}{\partial x} = 0, t > 0, (i = 1, 2) \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Definiton 3.1 A pair of smooth function $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$ and $\hat{u} = (\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2)$ are said to be the coupled upper and lower solutions of problem (4), if $\tilde{u}_i \geq \hat{u}_i (i = 1, 2)$ in $[-\tau, 0] \times [0, \pi]$, and the following differential inequalities hold

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_1(x,t)}{\partial t} \geq d_1 \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \alpha((g_1 * \tilde{u}_1)(x,t)) - b_1 \tilde{u}_1(x,t) - r_1 \tilde{u}_1^2(x,t) - c_1 \tilde{u}_1(x,t) \hat{u}_2(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_2(x,t)}{\partial t} \geq d_2 \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - b_2 \tilde{u}_2(x,t) - r_2 \tilde{u}_2^2(x,t) - c_2 \hat{u}_1(x,t) \tilde{u}_2(x,t) + \beta(g_2 * \tilde{u}_2)(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial \hat{u}_1(x,t)}{\partial t} \leq d_1 \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_1(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \alpha((g_1 * \hat{u}_1)(x,t)) - b_1 \hat{u}_1(x,t) - r_1 \hat{u}_1^2(x,t) - c_1 \hat{u}_1(x,t) \tilde{u}_2(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial \hat{u}_2(x,t)}{\partial t} \leq d_2 \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_2(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - b_2 \hat{u}_2(x,t) - r_2 \hat{u}_2^2(x,t) - c_2 \tilde{u}_1(x,t) \hat{u}_2(x,t) + \beta(g_2 * \hat{u}_2)(x,t) \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.1 If there exists a pair of upper and lower \tilde{u}, \hat{u} of problem (4) and $\varphi_i(t, x)$ is Hölder continue in $[-\tau, 0] \times [0, \pi]$, then the system (4) has the unique solution $(u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x))$ satisfying $\hat{u}_i \leq u_i \leq \tilde{u}_i, (i = 1, 2)$.

Lemma 3.2 If $\varphi_i(t, x)$ is Hölder continue in $[-\tau, 0] \times [0, \pi]$, and $\varphi_i(t, x) \geq 0, \varphi_i(0, x) \neq 0 (i = 1, 2)$, then the system (4) has the unique solution.

Lemma 3.3 With the assuming of Lemma 3.2, if $u(x, t)$ is the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^\pi g(s) e^{-\gamma s} G(x, y, s) u(t-s, y) dy ds - \beta u^2(t, x) + Au(t, x), t > 0, x \in [0, \pi] \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, 0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(t, \pi), t > 0 \\ u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x), (t, x) \in [-\tau, 0] \times [0, \pi] \end{cases}$$

where, $\alpha, \beta > 0, \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) ds = 1, A \geq 0$, then, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) = \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) e^{-\gamma s} ds + A}{\beta}, x \in [0, \pi]$.

Theorem 3.1 If $r_1 r_2 \geq c_1 c_2, \varphi_i(t, x) \geq 0, \varphi_i(0, x) \neq 0 (i = 1, 2)$, and let $(u_1(t, x), u_2(t, x))$ be the solution of the system (4), then $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t)) = (u_1^*, u_2^*)$, uniformly for $x \in [0, \pi]$.

Proof: let $K_1 = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(x, t), K_2 = \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(x, t)$

Let $\bar{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x), \bar{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x)$ be the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_1^{(1)}(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_1 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_1^{(1)}(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \alpha((g_1 * \bar{u}_1^{(1)})(x,t)) - b_1 \bar{u}_1^{(1)}(x,t) - r_1 [\bar{u}_1^{(1)}]^2(x,t) \\ \frac{\partial \bar{u}_2^{(1)}(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_2^{(1)}(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - b_2 \bar{u}_2^{(1)}(x,t) - r_2 [\bar{u}_2^{(1)}]^2(x,t) + \beta(g_2 * \bar{u}_2^{(1)})(x,t) \\ \bar{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x) = K_1, \bar{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x) = K_2 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Clearly $(\bar{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x), \bar{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x))$ and $(0, 0)$ are the upper and lower solutions of problem (4), and by Lemma 3.1, we get $0 \leq u_i \leq \bar{u}_i^{(1)}, (i = 1, 2)$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1}{r_1} \triangleq \beta_1^{(0)}, \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2}{r_2} \triangleq \beta_2^{(0)} \tag{6}$$

And thus for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_1 > 0$, such that $t > t_1$,

$$\max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(x, t) < \beta_1^{(0)} + \varepsilon, \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(x, t) < \beta_2^{(0)} + \varepsilon \tag{7}$$

Let $\underline{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x), \underline{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x)$ be the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \underline{u}_1^{(1)}}{\partial t} = d_1 \frac{\partial^2 \underline{u}_1^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \left((g_1 * \underline{u}_1^{(1)}) \right) - b_1 \underline{u}_1^{(1)} - r_1 \left[\underline{u}_1^{(1)} \right]^2 - c_1 \underline{u}_1^{(1)} \overline{u}_2^{(1)} \\ \frac{\partial \underline{u}_2^{(1)}}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 \underline{u}_2^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} - b_2 \underline{u}_2^{(1)} - r_2 \left[\underline{u}_2^{(1)} \right]^2 + \beta \left(g_2 * \underline{u}_2^{(1)} \right) - c_2 \overline{u}_1^{(1)} \underline{u}_2^{(1)} \\ \underline{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} u_1(t, x), \underline{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} u_2(t, x) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Then $(\overline{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x), \overline{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x))$ and $(\underline{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x), \underline{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x))$ are a pair of upper and lower solutions of problem (4), and by Lemma 3.1, we get $\underline{u}_i^{(1)} \leq u_i \leq \overline{u}_i^{(1)}, (i=1,2)$

By (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \underline{u}_1^{(1)}}{\partial t} \geq d_1 \frac{\partial^2 \underline{u}_1^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \left((g_1 * \underline{u}_1^{(1)}) \right) - b_1 \underline{u}_1^{(1)} - r_1 \left[\underline{u}_1^{(1)} \right]^2 - c_1 \underline{u}_1^{(1)} (\beta_2^{(0)} + \varepsilon) \\ \frac{\partial \underline{u}_2^{(1)}}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 \underline{u}_2^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} - b_2 \underline{u}_2^{(1)} - r_2 \left[\underline{u}_2^{(1)} \right]^2 + \beta \left(g_2 * \underline{u}_2^{(1)} \right) - c_2 \underline{u}_2^{(1)} (\beta_1^{(0)} + \varepsilon) \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

By the comparison principle, we get $\underline{u}_1^{(1)} \geq v_1^{(1)}, \underline{u}_2^{(1)} \geq v_2^{(1)}$, where $v_1^{(1)}$ and $v_2^{(1)}$ are the upper and lower solutions of problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v_1^{(1)}}{\partial t} = d_1 \frac{\partial^2 v_1^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \left((g_1 * v_1^{(1)}) \right) - b_1 v_1^{(1)} - r_1 \left[v_1^{(1)} \right]^2 - c_1 v_1^{(1)} (\beta_2^{(0)} + \varepsilon) \\ \frac{\partial v_2^{(1)}}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 v_2^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} - b_2 v_2^{(1)} - r_2 \left[v_2^{(1)} \right]^2 + \beta \left(g_2 * v_2^{(1)} \right) - c_2 v_2^{(1)} (\beta_1^{(0)} + \varepsilon) \\ v_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} u_1(t, x), v_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} u_2(t, x) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v_1^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 - c_1 (\beta_2^{(0)} + \varepsilon)}{r_1}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v_2^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 - c_2 (\beta_1^{(0)} + \varepsilon)}{r_2}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \alpha_1^{(0)} &\leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(t, x) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(t, x) \leq \beta_1^{(0)} \\ 0 < \alpha_2^{(0)} &\leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(t, x) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(t, x) \leq \beta_2^{(0)} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Where, $\alpha_1^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 - c_1 \beta_2^{(0)}}{r_1}, \alpha_2^{(0)} = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 - c_2 \beta_1^{(0)}}{r_2}$

Furthermore, for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t > t_2$, such that

$$\min_{x \in [0, \pi]} \underline{u}_1^{(1)}(x, t) > \alpha_1^{(0)} - \varepsilon, \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} \underline{u}_2^{(1)}(x, t) > \alpha_2^{(0)} - \varepsilon, t > t_2 \quad (12)$$

Let $\overline{u}_1^{(2)}(t, x), \overline{u}_2^{(2)}(t, x)$ be the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_1^{(2)}}{\partial t} = d_1 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_1^{(2)}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \left((g_1 * \bar{u}_1^{(2)}) \right) - b_1 \bar{u}_1^{(2)} - r_1 \left[\bar{u}_1^{(2)} \right]^2 - c_1 \bar{u}_1^{(2)} \underline{u}_2^{(1)} \\ \frac{\partial \bar{u}_2^{(2)}}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_2^{(2)}}{\partial x^2} - b_2 \bar{u}_2^{(2)} - r_2 \left[\bar{u}_2^{(2)} \right]^2 + \beta \left(g_2 * \bar{u}_2^{(2)} \right) - c_2 \underline{u}_1^{(1)} \bar{u}_2^{(2)} \\ \bar{u}_1^{(2)}(t, x) = K_1, \bar{u}_2^{(2)}(t, x) = K_2 \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

By definition 3.1, $(\bar{u}_1^{(2)}(t, x), \bar{u}_2^{(2)}(t, x))$ and $(\underline{u}_1^{(1)}(t, x), \underline{u}_2^{(1)}(t, x))$ are a pair of upper and lower solutions of problem (4), and by Lemma 3.1, we get $\underline{u}_i^{(1)} \leq u_i \leq \bar{u}_i^{(2)}, (i = 1, 2)$

By (12) and (13), we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \bar{u}_1^{(2)}}{\partial t} \leq d_1 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_1^{(2)}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \left((g_1 * \bar{u}_1^{(2)}) \right) - b_1 \bar{u}_1^{(2)} - r_1 \left[\bar{u}_1^{(2)} \right]^2 - c_1 \bar{u}_1^{(2)} (\alpha_2^{(0)} - \varepsilon) \\ \frac{\partial \bar{u}_2^{(2)}}{\partial t} \leq d_2 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}_2^{(2)}}{\partial x^2} - b_2 \bar{u}_2^{(2)} - r_2 \left[\bar{u}_2^{(2)} \right]^2 + \beta \left(g_2 * \bar{u}_2^{(2)} \right) - c_2 \bar{u}_2^{(2)} (\alpha_1^{(0)} - \varepsilon) \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

By the comparison principle, we get $\bar{u}_1^{(2)} \leq w_1^{(1)}, \bar{u}_2^{(2)} \leq w_2^{(1)}$, where $w_1^{(1)}, w_2^{(1)}$ are the upper and lower solutions of problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w_1^{(1)}}{\partial t} = d_1 \frac{\partial^2 w_1^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \left((g_1 * \partial w_1^{(1)}) \right) - b_1 w_1^{(1)} - r_1 \left[w_1^{(1)} \right]^2 - c_1 w_1^{(1)} (\alpha_2^{(0)} - \varepsilon) \\ \frac{\partial w_2^{(1)}}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 w_2^{(1)}}{\partial x^2} - b_2 w_2^{(1)} - r_2 \left[w_2^{(1)} \right]^2 + \beta \left(g_2 * w_2^{(1)} \right) - c_2 w_2^{(1)} (\alpha_1^{(0)} - \varepsilon) \\ w_1^{(1)} = K_1, w_2^{(1)} = K_2 \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} w_1^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 - c_1 (\alpha_2^{(0)} - \varepsilon)}{r_1}, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} w_2^{(1)}(t, x) = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 - c_2 (\alpha_1^{(0)} - \varepsilon)}{r_2}$$

Therefore we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \alpha_1^{(0)} &\leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(t, x) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(t, x) \leq \beta_1^{(1)} \\ 0 < \alpha_2^{(0)} &\leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(t, x) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(t, x) \leq \beta_2^{(1)} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where, $\beta_1^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 - c_1 \alpha_2^{(0)}}{r_1}, \beta_2^{(1)} = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 - c_2 \alpha_1^{(0)}}{r_2}$

It is obvious that $0 < \alpha_1^{(0)} \leq \beta_1^{(1)} \leq \beta_1^{(0)}, 0 < \alpha_2^{(0)} \leq \beta_2^{(1)} \leq \beta_2^{(0)}$.

Continue this process, we can get the following sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{(k)} &= \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 - c_1 \beta_2^{(k)}}{r_1}, \alpha_2^{(k)} = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 - c_2 \beta_1^{(k)}}{r_2} \\ \beta_1^{(k+1)} &= \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 - c_1 \alpha_2^{(k)}}{r_1}, \beta_2^{(k+1)} = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 - c_2 \alpha_1^{(k)}}{r_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_1^{(0)} = \frac{\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1}{r_1}, \beta_2^{(0)} = \frac{\beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2}{r_2} \tag{17}$$

And satisfying

$$0 < \alpha_1^{(k)} \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(t, x) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_1(t, x) \leq \beta_1^{(k)}$$

$$0 < \alpha_2^{(k)} \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(t, x) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{x \in [0, \pi]} u_2(t, x) \leq \beta_2^{(k)}$$

$$[\alpha_1^{(k+1)}, \beta_1^{(k+1)}] \subseteq [\alpha_1^{(k)}, \beta_1^{(k)}], \quad [\alpha_2^{(k+1)}, \beta_2^{(k+1)}] \subseteq [\alpha_2^{(k)}, \beta_2^{(k)}]$$

We need to testify the following $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = u_1^*, \quad \alpha_2 = \beta_2 = u_2^*$

Let $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in (17), we derive that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 r_1 + c_1 \beta_2 = \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 \\ \alpha_2 r_2 + c_2 \beta_1 = \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 \\ r_1 \beta_1 + c_1 \alpha_2 = \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s)e^{-\gamma_1 s} ds - b_1 \\ r_2 \beta_2 + c_2 \alpha_1 = \beta \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s)e^{-\gamma_2 s} ds - b_2 \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

Which yields
$$\begin{cases} (\alpha_1 - \beta_1)r_1 - c_1(\alpha_2 - \beta_2) = 0 \\ (\alpha_2 - \beta_2)r_2 - c_2(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

since $\begin{vmatrix} r_1 & -c_1 \\ -c_2 & r_2 \end{vmatrix} = r_1 r_2 - c_1 c_2 > 0$, system (4) has only zero solution with respect to $\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \quad \alpha_2 = \beta_2$. Therefore, from(18), we

can get $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = u_1^*, \quad \alpha_2 = \beta_2 = u_2^*$.

This completes the proof.

The methods are also appropriate for a class of cooperation model and Epidemic model with stage structure, and so on. for example:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1(x, t)}{\partial t} - d_1 \frac{\partial^2 u_1(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = \alpha((g_1 * u_1)(x, t)) - r_1 u_1^2(x, t) + c_1 u_1(x, t) u_2(x, t) \\ \frac{\partial u_2(x, t)}{\partial t} - d_2 \frac{\partial^2 u_2(x, t)}{\partial x^2} = \beta((g_2 * u_2)(x, t)) - r_2 u_2^2(x, t) + c_2 u_1(x, t) u_2(x, t) \end{cases}$$

Where, the parameters have the same the meanings with consistent.

4. Conclusion

Using of constant linear methods, we considered the local asymptotic stability; Employing the upper-lower solutions and monotone iterative methods, we considered the global stability of the positive equilibrium point about the competition model with diffusion terms and stage structure. The conclusions are also appropriate for the corresponding parabolic-ordinary differential system ($d_i = 0$ for some or all i). Besides, The conclusions are also appropriate for the predator-prey model and epidemic model and so on. So, The traditional results are improved and this result adds to the previous results and applies to broader frameworks. But, with the increase of the invasive

species, we can study the multi-group reaction diffusion model in the next few years.

Acknowledgments

The paper was funded by the field incubation project 2015L02.

References

[1] Chen Lansun, The phase structure of the population dynamics model [J]. Journal of north China university (natural science edition), in June 2000, 1 (3): 185-191.

- [2] Wang Lie, Chen Siyang, Infected with time delay and stage structure vaccination of youth the stability of the single population model [J]. *Journal of Shan xi normal university (natural science edition)*, in January, 2013, 41 (1): 15-19.
- [3] Yan Weilin, Two species competition model with stage structure of the optimal harvesting [J]. *Journal of Shanxi university (natural science edition)*, 2013, 29 (5) in October: 10-12.
- [4] Shi Chunling, Global attractivity in a Lotka-Volterra competition system with feedback controls [J]. *Journal of Biomathematics*, 2015, 30 (4): 714-720.
- [5] Li Liangchen, Xu Rui, Global stability and bifurcations of a delayed predator-prey model with Holling type II functional response [J]. *Journal of natural science of Heilongjiang university*, 2016, 33 (3) in June: 328-337.
- [6] Gao Shujing, Global stability of three stage structured single species growth model [J]. *Journal of Xinjiang university: Science Edition*, 2001, 18 (2): 154-158.
- [7] Liang Zhiqing, Zhaoqiang, The optimal harvesting strategy about a class of single population model with three phase structure [J]. *Journal of Yulin normal university (natural science edition)*, 2005, 26 (3): 1-3.
- [8] Wu Chufen, Global asymptotic stability of a weakly-coupled reaction diffusion system in the three-species model [J]. *Journal of South China normal university (natural science edition)*, 2015, 47 (3): 142-147.
- [9] Mou En, Zhao Chaofeng, Chen Xiaodong, Zhang Qimin, Stability for single population growth model with three-stage structure and time delay [J]. *Journal of Chongqing normal university (natural science)*, 2016, 33 (4): 85-89.
- [10] Gao Shujing, Chen Lansun, Permanence and global stability for single species model with three life stages and time delay [J]. *Acta Mathematica Science*, 2006, 26A (4): 527-533.
- [11] Li Yuxia, Global asymptotic stability in three-species non-autonomous system with time-delay [J]. *Journal of Taiyuan normal university (natural science)*, 2016, 15 (2): 26-28.
- [12] Song Lingyu, Liu Fumin, Two predator-prey model with stage structure with diffusion is continuous existence of approximate wave-front solutions [J]. *Journal of engineering mathematics*, 28 October 2011 (5): 671-680.
- [13] Faria T. Stability and bifurcation for a delayed predator-prey model and the effect of diffusion [J]. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2001, 254: 433-463.5.